

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	iO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,827	10/037,827 01/03/2002		Dean A. Seifert	FDC 0167 PUS	6573	
22045	7590	0 04/28/2005		EXAMINER		
BROOK 1000 TO		HMAN P.C. NTER	BORLINGHAUS, JASON M			
		ND FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SOUTHF	SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075					
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/037,827	SEIFERT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jason M. Borlinghaus	3628	

	Examino	Aitoille				
	Jason M. Borlinghaus	3628				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Jason M. Borlinghaus</u> .	(3) Michael Cushion.					
(2) <u>Hyung Sough</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 05 April 2005.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representative	·]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-38</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: None.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS						

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, it required

Application No. 10/037,827

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Michael Cushion, attorney for applicant, discussed the double patenting rejection issued in the previous office action with Jason Borlinghaus, examiner, and Hyung Sough, SPE. Mr. Cushion established that the double patenting rejection was based upon the applicant's published provisional application and that no additional prior art was included in the office action rejection. Examiner agreed to review and reconsider application upon receipt of formal response from applicant.

2 Perf